



Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing

# Methods for geometric modelling of refraction in photo-bathymetry

Christian Mulsow, 2024/11/7

### Content

- **1. Introduction and Motivation**
- 2. Modeling the Refraction Ray Tracing
- 3. Integration in a Bundle Adjustment Image Orientation
- 4. Underwater DTM extraction
- 5. Options and Limitations
- 6. Strategies for nonplanar water surfaces (waves)
- 7. Conclusion





### **Introduction and Motivation**

#### Photogrammetric measurement tasks in and through water -> from easy to difficult



Camera in a housing (dome port – easy, flat port ~) Through calm water



Camera outside water flat water surface

#### Through moving water



Camera outside water wavy water surface





### **Introduction and Motivation**

"practical" Approaches to compensate the refraction effects

- Data processing in standard software (without refraction compensation)
- Option 1 : Linear regression reference vs. measured heights
- Option 2 : Correction factor calculated from camera setup (flying height, focal length, base length

Easy to implement, but low accuracy and reliability! -> Better: Geometric Modelling of refraction effects!





Standard pinhole-camera model without refraction





Standard collinearity equations system:

$$x' = x_0' + z' \frac{r_{11}(X_P - X_0) + r_{21}(Y_P - X_0) + r_{31}(Z_P - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_P - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_P - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_P - X_0)} + \Delta x'$$
$$y' = y_0' + z' \frac{r_{12}(X_P - X_0) + r_{22}(Y_P - X_0) + r_{32}(Z_P - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_P - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_P - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_P - X_0)} + \Delta y'$$

DRESDEN concept



Compensation of the refraction effect by 'straightening' the image ray Possible strategies:







Standard pinhole-camera model with refraction – universal model First published by Kotowski, 1987





$$x' = x_0' + z' \frac{r_{11}(X_{P1} - X_0) + r_{21}(Y_{P1} - X_0) + r_{31}(Z_{P1} - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_{P1} - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_{P1} - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_{P1} - X_0)} + \Delta x'$$

$$y' = y'_0 + z' \frac{r_{12}(X_{P1} - X_0) + r_{22}(Y_{P1} - X_0) + r_{32}(Z_{P1} - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_{P1} - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_{P1} - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_{P1} - X_0)} + \Delta y'$$

DRESDEN

concept

*Task: Find the piercing point P<sub>1</sub> - Raytracing!* 

#### Raytracing

Forward ray tracing (FRT)From image to object spaceFor forward intersection







#### Raytracing

### Backward ray tracing (BRT)



#### **Problem:**

No initial direction of ray path !

#### **Consequence:**

No direct computing of ray path possible

Sequential computing impossible

Start values necessary

#### Solution:

Iterative solution of whole ray path

#### **Different Approaches**:

- Kotowski BRT
- Alternating forward ray tracing (AFRT)
- Minimum Distance Forward Raytracing (MDFRT)
- Scattershot (Brute Force)

(see Mulsow et. al, 2014, 'A universal approach for geometric modelling in underwater stereo image processing')





Backward Raytracing – different approaches - example



#### Minimum Distance Forward Ray Tracing (MDFRT)

- Changing the start direction in order to minimize the distance of the image ray to object point P
- Based on forward ray tracing
- Conditional equation: distance = 0

#### Input Data:

- Object Point Coordinates P & P<sub>0</sub>
- Surface model (parametric)
- Initial image ray direction
- *initial surface point P*<sub>1</sub> (From FRT)
- Surface Normal in initial surface point P<sub>1</sub> (From FRT)
- *Refracted image ray direction (From FRT)*



DRESDEN

concept



Backward Raytracing – Integration in a Bundle Adjustment

Extended collinearity equations system:

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= x'_0 + z' \frac{r_1(X_1 - X_0) + r_{21}(Y_1 - X_0) + r_{31}(Z_1 - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_1 - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_1 - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_1 - X_0)} + \Delta x' \\ y' &= y'_0 + z' \frac{r_1(X_1 - X_0) + r_{22}(Y_1 - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_1 - X_0)}{r_{13}(X_1 - X_0) + r_{23}(Y_1 - X_0) + r_{33}(Z_1 - X_0)} + \Delta y' \end{aligned}$$

Linearization only possible via numerical differentiation ! High computational effort!

Coordinates of the interface point P<sub>1</sub> from Backward Raytracing :

 $(X_{1\,ij}^{l}, Y_{1\,ij}^{l}, Z_{1\,ij}^{l}) = f_{P_1}(X_{0j}, Y_{0j}, Z_{0j}, X_i, Y_i, Z_i, a^l, n^l)$  in which:

i = point index

- = image index
- k = camera index
- t = interface index
  - = set of interface indices t
- $a^{I}$  = set of interface parameters  $a_{t}$
- $n^{l}$  = set of relative refractive indices  $n_{t}$





Integration in a Bundle Adjustment

**Object invariant interface** 

- 1. Ray tracing -> calculation of  $P_t$
- 2. Introducing P<sub>t</sub> in collinearity equation

Interface parameters given in object coordinate system !

Normally the case with airborne photobathymetry !





#### Integration in a Bundle Adjustment

#### **Bundle invariant interface**

- 1. Transformation of object point P in to the camera coordinate system
- 1. Ray tracing inside the camera system (port) -> calculation of  $P_{t*}$
- 2. Transformation of  $P_{t*}$  back in to object coordinate system ->  $P_t$
- 3. Introducing P<sub>t</sub> in collinearity equation

# Interface parameters of the port (e.g. flat or dome) given in camera coordinate system !

#### Normally the case with underwater photogrammetry !





Multimedia Bundle Adjustment - Image Orientation - through water – example



- UAV Ascending Technologies (AscTec) Falcon 8 octocopter
- Sony NEX-7 camera (24 Mp, 20mm, F/2.8 optical lens)
- 300 images/ 100m flying height/GSD 2cm/ area 400x400 m<sup>2</sup>
- overlap 75% along track, 65% across track
- Orientations from UAV's GNSS/IMU, 8 control points on land (5/5/10cm)
- 70% water coverage





#### Adjustment

- fixed camera calibration parameters
- underwater-points together with onshore points
- Fixed water surface modelled as a plane **Result:**

Parameter	Value
S <sub>0</sub>	0.49 px
RMS x' y' land	0.42/0.43 px
RMS x' y' water	0.51/0.51 px
RMS X /Y/Z land	1.5/1.2/3.8 cm
RMS X/Y/Z water	0.9/1.6/5.3 cm
RMS Z of underwater check points	<mark>3.5 cm</mark>
RMS of underwater check points Z <sub>reference</sub> -Z <sub>actual</sub>	<mark>11.8 cm</mark>

#### Multimedia Bundle Adjustment - pro's and con's

### Options

- Highly versatile
- All model parameters can be treated as unknowns (...camera orientations, shape of the water surface!)
- Constraints between the unknowns (e.g. parallelism of planes, membership of an object point to a surface etc.) can be defined

#### Limitations

- Combinations of unknown parameters may lead to singularity (e.g. all refractive indices are treated as unknowns)
- Stability of the adjustment depends on the imaging configuration and the distribution of control points (as usual...)
- Multi-media geometry requires a partly different view on the problem (new ...)





Examples - Autobahnsee, Augsburg, Bavaria, Germany







- IGI DigiCAM 100 camera, Orientations from GNSS/IMU
- 4 strips in two heights (450m/610) 61/65 images
- 90% overlap along track, 60% across track
- 10 control points (RTK GNSS)
- No underwater control points!

Slide 16

For evaluation: topo-bathymetrical laser scanner (Riegl VQ-880-G)

DRESDEN

concept

Classification of land and water points – criteria point height



Matched points 

Land 

Water







#### **Processing of Stereo images**

- Finding point pairs
- Matching
- Simple forward intersection
- Labelling of underwater points based on height
- 3D-coordinates via multimedia forward intersection
- Fusion of point-clouds
- Filtering
- TIN





Examples Autobahnsee, Augsburg, Bavaria, Germany

Photo-DTM

Photo vs. Laser[m]







#### Examples - Lake Schottensee at Flüelapass





- UAV Ascending Technologies (AscTec) Falcon 8 octocopter
- Sony NEX-7 camera (24 Mp, 20mm, F/2.8 optical lens)
- 300 images
- 100m flying height
- GSD 2cm
- 75% overlap along track, 65% across track
- Orientations from UAV's GNSS/IMU
- 8 control points accuracy 5/5/10 cm in X/Y/Z (on land)
- no underwater control points!
- 15 check points measured on lake bottom (accuracy 10/10/10 cm in X/Y/Z) - not signalized

DRESDEN

concept



#### **Examples - Lake Schottensee**

RMS at underwater check points (13):

 $Z_{Actual}$ - $Z_{Reference}$  = 11.8cm

Inner accuracy (Z) = 3.5cm





without refraction compensation
 with refraction compensation

— Water



With refraction compensation

#### Without refraction compensation





### **Conclusion and Outlook**

- Refraction compensation is necessary and possible
- DEM Quality depends on imaging quality
- Actually limited to quite water surface (water surface modelled as flat horizontal plane)

#### Future

- Automatic shore line extraction
- Imaging through wavy surfaces





### **Conclusion and Outlook**

### Strategies for wavy water – taking image sequences

#### Complex method:

• Spatio-temporal Modelling of water surface





### **Conclusion and Outlook**

Strategies for wavy water – taking image sequences

Simple Method:



• pixel-wise median-filtering  $\rightarrow$  Result: 'corrected' image corresponding to a image taken through flat calm water





# **Questions?**

# Christian Mulsow christian.mulsow@tu-dresden.de

